

## Existence of multiple solutions to a two-point boundary value system via variational method

Armin Hadjian<sup>1</sup> and Mohsen Rostamian Delavar

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Bojnord, P.O. Box 1339, Bojnord 94531, Iran

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove the existence of an open interval  $]\lambda', \lambda''[$  for each  $\lambda$  of which a class of two-point boundary value equations depending on  $\lambda$  admits at least three solutions. Our main tool is a three critical points theorem of Averna and Bonanno.

**Keywords:** Three solutions, critical points, two-point boundary value system.

*2010 Mathematics subject classification:* 34B15; Secondary 58E05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider the following quasilinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -u_i'' + a_i(x)u_i = \lambda F_{u_i}(x, u_1, \dots, u_n) & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u_i'(0) = u_i'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter,  $F: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function such that the mapping  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \rightarrow F(x, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  is measurable in  $[0, 1]$  for all  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and is  $C^1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for a.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$  satisfying the condition

$$\sup_{\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|^2 \leq \rho} |F(\cdot, t_1, \dots, t_n)| \in L^1([0, 1])$$

for every  $\rho > 0$ ,  $F_{u_i}$  denotes the partial derivative of  $F$  with respect to  $u_i$ , and  $a_i \in L^\infty([0, 1])$  with  $\text{ess inf}_{(0,1)} a_i > 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: hadjian83@gmail.com, a.hadjian@ub.ac.ir  
Received: 30 October 2018  
Accepted: 08 December 2018

Throughout this paper, we let  $X$  be the Cartesian product of  $n$  copies of  $W^{1,2}([0, 1])$ , i.e.,  $X = (W^{1,2}([0, 1]))^n$  equipped with the norm

$$\|(u_1, \dots, u_n)\| := \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|,$$

where

$$\|u_i\| := \left( \int_0^1 (|u_i'(x)|^2 + a_i(x)|u_i(x)|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which is equivalent to the usual one.

Put

$$c := \max \left\{ \sup_{u_i \in W^{1,2}([0,1]) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u_i(x)|^2}{\|u_i\|^2} : \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that  $X$  is compactly embedded in  $(C^0([0, 1]))^n$ , so  $c < +\infty$ . It follows from Proposition 4.1 of [1] that

$$\sup_{u_i \in W^{1,2}([0,1]) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u_i(x)|^2}{\|u_i\|^2} > \frac{1}{\|a_i\|_1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where  $\|a_i\|_1 := \int_0^1 |a_i(x)| dx$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and so  $\frac{1}{\|a_i\|_1} \leq c$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

By a (*weak*) *solution* of system (1.1), we mean any  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n u_i'(x) v_i'(x) dx + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) u_i(x) v_i(x) dx \\ - \lambda \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n F_{u_i}(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) v_i(x) dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in X$ .

We shall establish the existence of a definite interval, in which  $\lambda$  lies, system (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions in  $X$ , by means of a recent abstract critical points result of Averna and Bonanno [2] which is actually a refinement of a general principle of Ricceri [8]. The existence and multiplicity of solutions for two-point boundary value problems have been widely investigated (see, for instance, [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and references therein). For other basic notations and definitions we refer to [9].

## 2. MAIN RESULT

First we here recall for the reader's convenience the three critical points theorem of [2] which is our main tool to prove the results. Here,  $Y^*$  denotes the dual space of  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (Theorem B of [2]). *Let  $Y$  be a real reflexive Banach space;  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $Y^*$ ;  $\Psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Assume that*

- (i)  $\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(u) + \lambda\Psi(u)) = +\infty$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, +\infty[$ ;
- (ii) there is  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$\inf_Y \Phi < r,$$

and

$$\varphi_1(r) < \varphi_2(r),$$

where

$$\varphi_1(r) := \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \frac{\Psi(u) - \inf_{\overline{\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])}^w \Psi}{r - \Phi(u)},$$

$$\varphi_2(r) := \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}([r, +\infty[)} \frac{\Psi(u) - \Psi(v)}{\Phi(v) - \Phi(u)},$$

and  $\overline{\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])}^w$  is the closure of  $\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$  in the weak topology.

Then, for each  $\lambda \in ]\frac{1}{\varphi_2(r)}, \frac{1}{\varphi_1(r)}[$  the functional  $\Phi + \lambda\Psi$  has at least three critical points in  $Y$ .

For all  $\gamma > 0$  we denote by  $K(\gamma)$  the set

$$\left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n |t_i|^2 \leq \gamma \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

We formulate our main result as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that there exist two positive constants  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  with  $\delta^2 > \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}}$  such that*

- (j)  $\frac{\int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\gamma} < \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, \delta, \dots, \delta) dx}{2^n c \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}$ , where  $c$  and  $K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})$  are given by (1.2) and (2.1);
- (jj)  $\limsup_{|t_1| \rightarrow +\infty, \dots, |t_n| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|t_i|^2}{2}} \leq 0$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (jjj)  $F(x, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$  for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Then, setting

$$\lambda' := \frac{\frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}{\int_0^1 F(x, \delta, \dots, \delta) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}$$

and

$$\lambda'' := \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1} c \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx},$$

for each  $\lambda \in ]\lambda', \lambda''[$  system (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions in  $X$ .

*Proof.* For each  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$ , put

$$\Phi(u) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|u_i\|^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(u) := - \int_0^1 F(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) dx.$$

It is well known that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are well defined and continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals with

$$\Phi'(u)(v) = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n u_i'(x) v_i'(x) dx + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) u_i(x) v_i(x) dx$$

and

$$\Psi'(u)(v) = - \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n F_{u_i}(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) v_i(x) dx$$

for every  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n), v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in X$ , as well as  $\Psi' : X \rightarrow X^*$  is continuous and compact operator, and  $\Phi' : X \rightarrow X^*$  admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ . Furthermore, by Proposition 25.20 of [9],  $\Phi$  is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous. Thanks to the assumption (jj), for each  $\lambda > 0$  one has

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(u) + \lambda \Psi(u)) = +\infty.$$

Put  $r := \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1} c}$ . From the hypothesis (j), we get

$$\frac{\int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\gamma} < \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, \delta, \dots, \delta) dx}{2^{n-1} c \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1} - \frac{\int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\gamma}.$$

Thus, since  $\delta^2 > \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}}$ , and  $c\|a_i\|_1 \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have

$$\frac{\int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\gamma} \\ < \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, \delta, \dots, \delta) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{2^{n-1} c \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1},$$

from which, multiplying by  $2^n c$ , we obtain

$$\frac{2^n c \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\gamma} \tag{2.2} \\ < \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, \delta, \dots, \delta) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}.$$

We claim that

$$\varphi_1(r) \leq \frac{2^n c \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\gamma} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\varphi_2(r) \geq \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, \delta, \dots, \delta) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}, \tag{2.4}$$

from which (ii) of Theorem 2.1 follows.

In fact, taking into account that the function identically 0 obviously belongs to  $\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$ , and that  $\Psi(0) = 0$ , we get

$$\varphi_1(r) \leq \frac{\sup_{\overline{\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \int_0^1 F(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) dx}{r},$$

and, since  $\overline{\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} = \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$ , we have

$$\frac{\sup_{\overline{\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \int_0^1 F(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) dx}{r} \\ = \frac{\sup_{\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \int_0^1 F(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) dx}{r}.$$

Since for each  $u_i \in W^{1,2}([0, 1])$

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |u_i(x)|^2 \leq c \|u_i\|^2$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (see (1.2)), we have that

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|u_i(x)|^2}{2} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|u_i\|^2}{2} = c\Phi(u)$$

for every  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$ . Thus, taking into account that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x)|^2 \leq \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}}$ , for every  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$  such that  $\Phi(u) \leq r$  and for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\sup_{\Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} \int_0^1 F(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) dx}{r} \leq \frac{\int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{r}.$$

So, (2.3) follows at once by the definition of  $r$ .

Moreover, for each  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in X$  such that  $\Phi(v) \geq r$ , we have

$$\varphi_2(r) \geq$$

$$\inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)) dx - \int_0^1 F(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) dx}{\Phi(v) - \Phi(u)},$$

thus, from  $\sum_{i=1}^n |u_i(x)|^2 \leq \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}}$ , for every  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in X$  such that  $\Phi(u) < r$  and for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)) dx - \int_0^1 F(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_n(x)) dx}{\Phi(v) - \Phi(u)} \\ & \geq \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\Phi(v) - \Phi(u)}, \end{aligned}$$

from which, being  $0 < \Phi(v) - \Phi(u) \leq \Phi(v)$  for every  $u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])$ , and under further condition

$$\int_0^1 F(x, v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)) dx \geq \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx, \quad (2.5)$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\Phi(v) - \Phi(u)} \\ & \geq \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x)) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|v_i\|^2}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $v(x) := (\delta, \dots, \delta)$ , for each  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\|v_i\| = \|a_i\|_1^{1/2} \delta$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Now since  $\delta^2 > \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}}$ , bearing in mind that  $\frac{1}{\|a_i\|_1} \leq c$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we get  $\Phi(v) = \frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1 > r$ . Moreover, with this choice of  $v$ , (2.2) ensures (2.5), thus (2.4) is also proved.

Taking into account that the weak solutions of system (1.1) are exactly the solutions of the equation  $\Phi'(u) + \lambda\Psi'(u) = 0$ , we have the conclusion by using of Theorem 2.1. Namely, by observing that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_2(r)} \leq \frac{\frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}{\int_0^1 F(x, \delta, \dots, \delta) dx - \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_1(r)} \geq \frac{\gamma}{2^n c \int_0^1 \sup_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) dx},$$

for each  $\lambda \in ]\lambda', \lambda''[$  system (1.1) admits at least three weak solutions in  $X$ . □

It is of interest to list some special cases of Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$ -function and assume that there exist two positive constants  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  with  $\delta^2 > \frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}}$  such that*

- (j)  $\frac{\max_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\gamma} < \frac{F(\delta, \dots, \delta)}{2^n c \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}$ , where  $c$  and  $K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})$  are given by (1.2) and (2.1);
- (jj')  $\limsup_{|t_1| \rightarrow +\infty, \dots, |t_n| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(t_1, \dots, t_n)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|t_i|^2}{2}} \leq 0$ ;
- (jjj')  $F(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ .

Then, setting

$$\lambda' := \frac{\frac{\delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_1}{F(\delta, \dots, \delta) - \max_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(t_1, \dots, t_n)}$$

and

$$\lambda'' := \frac{\gamma}{(2^n c) \max_{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in K(\frac{\gamma}{2^{n-1}})} F(t_1, \dots, t_n)},$$

for each  $\lambda \in ]\lambda', \lambda''[$  the system

$$\begin{cases} -u_i'' + a_i(x)u_i = \lambda F_{u_i}(u_1, \dots, u_n) & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u_i'(0) = u_i'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , admits at least three weak solutions in  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Put  $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\xi)d\xi$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume that there exist two positive constants  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  with  $\delta^2 > \gamma$  such that

$$(j'') \quad \frac{\max_{t \in [-\sqrt{\gamma}, \sqrt{\gamma}]} F(t)}{\gamma} < \frac{F(\delta)}{2c\delta^2 \|a\|_1}, \quad \text{where } c := \sup_{u \in W^{1,2}([0,1]) \setminus \{0\}} \left( \frac{\|u\|_\infty}{\|u\|} \right)^2;$$

$$(jj'') \quad \limsup_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(t)}{|t|^2} \leq 0.$$

Then, setting

$$\lambda' := \frac{\delta^2 \|a\|_1}{2(F(\delta) - \max_{t \in [-\sqrt{\gamma}, \sqrt{\gamma}]} F(t))}$$

and

$$\lambda'' := \frac{\gamma}{(2c) \max_{t \in [-\sqrt{\gamma}, \sqrt{\gamma}]} F(t)},$$

for each  $\lambda \in ]\lambda', \lambda''[$  the problem

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + a(x)u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

admits at least three classical solutions in  $W^{1,2}([0, 1])$ .

**Acknowledgment.** This research was in part supported by a grant from University of Bojnord (No. 97/367/4878).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Anello and G. Cordaro, An existence theorem for the Neumann problem involving the  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Convex Anal.* **10**(2003), 185-198.
- [2] D. Averna and G. Bonanno, A three critical points theorem and its applications to the ordinary Dirichlet problem, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **22**(2003), 93-103.
- [3] J.R. Graef, S. Heidarkhani and L. Kong, A critical points approach to multiplicity results for multi-point boundary value problems, *Appl. Anal.* **90**(2011), 1909-1925.
- [4] J.R. Graef and L. Kong, Existence of solutions for nonlinear boundary value problems, *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* **14**(2007), 39-60.
- [5] S. Heidarkhani and D. Motreanu, Multiplicity results for a two-point boundary value problem, *Panamer. Math. J.* **19**(2009), 69-78.
- [6] S. Heidarkhani and Y. Tian, Multiplicity results for a class of gradient systems depending on two parameters, *Nonlinear Anal.* **73**(2010), 547-554.
- [7] R. Livrea, Existence of three solutions for a quasilinear two point boundary value problem, *Arch. Math.* **79**(2002), 288-298.
- [8] B. Ricceri, On a three critical points theorem, *Arch. Math.* **75**(2000), 220-226.
- [9] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*, vol. II/B, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1990.