

On a Class of Infinite Semipositone problems via Sub and Supersolutions Method

S. Shakeri ¹

Department of Mathematics, Am.C., Islamic Azad University, Amol, P.
O. Box 678, Iran

ABSTRACT. Using the method of sub-super solutions, we study the existence of positive solutions for a class of infinite semipositone problems involving nonlocal operator.

Keywords: Kirchhoff-type problems, Infinite semipositone, Sub and Supersolutions method.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 35J55, Secondary 35J65.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested in the existence of positive solutions for the Kirchhoff-type problem


$$\begin{cases} -M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = au - bu^{\gamma} - f(u) - \frac{c}{u^{\alpha}}, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Δ denotes the Laplacian operator defined by $\Delta z = \operatorname{div}(\nabla z)$, $\gamma > 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, a, b and c are positive constants, Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. This model describes the steady states of a logistic growth

¹Saleh.Shakeri@iau.ac.ir
Received: 27 October 2025
Revised: 08 December 2025
Accepted: 08 December 2025

How to Cite: Shakeri, Saleh. Infinite Semipositone problems via Sub and Supersolutions Method. *Casp.J. Math. Sci.*, **15**(1)(2026), 174-181.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

 Copyright © 2026 by University of Mazandaran. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

model with grazing and constant yield harvesting. It also describes the dynamics of the fish population with natural predation and constant yield harvesting.

We make the following assumptions:

- (H₁) $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(s) = \infty$.
- (H₂) There exist $A > 0$ and $\beta > 1$ such that $f(s) \leq As^\beta$, for all $s \geq 0$.
- (H₃) $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous and increasing function such that $0 < M_0 \leq M(t) \leq M_\infty$ for all t .

In [25], the authors have studied the equation $-\Delta u = g(u) - (c/u^\alpha)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, where g is nonnegative and nondecreasing and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} g(u) = \infty$. The case $g(u) := au - f(u)$ has been studied in [16], where $f(u) \geq au - N$ and $f(u) \leq Au^\beta$ on $[0, \infty)$ for some $N, A > 0, \beta > 1$ and this g may have a falling zero. Here u is the population density and $au - bu^\gamma - f(u)$ represents logistics growth. This model describes grazing of a fixed number of grazers on a logistically growing species (see [17]). The herbivore density is assumed to be a constant which is a valid assumption for managed grazing systems and the rate of grazing is given by $\frac{c}{u^\alpha}$. At high levels of vegetation density this term saturates to c as the grazing population is a constant. This model has also been applied to describe the dynamics of fish populations (see [17, 26]). The diffusive logistic equation with constant yield harvesting, in the absence of grazing was studied in [20]. More recently, reaction-diffusion models have been used to describe spatiotemporal phenomena in disciplines other than ecology, such as physics, chemistry (see [9, 27]). For more information, we refer the reader to [12, 13, 19]. In this paper, we study the equation

$$-M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = au - bu^\gamma - f(u) - (c/u^\alpha) \quad (1.2)$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Let $F(u) := au - bu^\gamma - f(u) - (c/u^\alpha)$, then

$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} F(u) = -\infty$ and hence we refer to (1.1) as an infinite semipositone problem. We refer to [21, 22] for additional results on semipositone problems. In recent years, problems involving Kirchhoff type operators have been studied in many papers, we refer to [4, 5] in which the authors have used variational method and topological method to get the existence of solutions for (1.1). In this paper, motivated by the ideas introduced in [8] and the properties of Kirchhoff type operators in [1]-[3],[11, 15, 18], we study problem (1.1) in the semipositone case ($F(0) < 0$ but finite) (see [6, 7, 14]). The main tool used in this study is the method of sub- and super solutions. Our result in this note improves

the previous one [8] in which $M(t) \equiv 1$. To our best knowledge, this is a new research topic for nonlocal problems, see [4, 15, 23].

2. MAIN RESULT

A function ψ is said to be a subsolution of problem (1.1) if it is in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and satisfies

$$M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla\psi|^2 dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla\psi \cdot \nabla w dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a\psi - b\psi^{\gamma} - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{\psi^{\alpha}} \right) w dx, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\forall w \in W,$$

where $W := \{w \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) : w \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$. A function $z \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with $z = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ in the trace sense is said to be a supersolution if satisfies

$$M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z|^2 dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla z \cdot \nabla w dx \geq \int_{\Omega} \left(az - bz^{\gamma} - f(z) - \frac{c}{z^{\alpha}} \right) w dx, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\forall w \in W.$$

Our main result is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. If $a > (\frac{2}{1+\alpha})\lambda_1$, then there exists a positive constant $c^* := c^*(a, A, \alpha, \gamma, \Omega)$ such that for $c \leq c^*$, problem (1.1) has a positive solution, where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions.*

Proof. We shall establish our result by constructing positive sub-supersolutions to equation (1.1). From an anti-maximum principle (see [10, pages 155-156]), there exists a $\sigma(\Omega) > 0$ such that the solution z_{λ} of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta z - \lambda z = -1, & x \in \Omega, \\ z = 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \sigma)$ is positive in Ω and is such that $\frac{\partial z}{\partial v} < 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where v is outward normal vector on $\partial\Omega$. Fix $\lambda^* \in (\lambda_1, \min\{\lambda_1 + \sigma, (\frac{1+\alpha}{2})a\})$ and let

$$K := \min \left\{ \left(\frac{M_{\infty}(2 + \alpha)}{2b\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\gamma(\alpha-1)}{1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}, \left(\frac{a - (\frac{2}{1+\alpha})\lambda^*}{3M_{\infty}b\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2(\gamma-1)}{1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}}, \right. \\ \left. \left(\frac{M_{\infty}(2 + \alpha)}{2A\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{\beta(\alpha-1)}{1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}}, \left(\frac{a - (\frac{2}{1+\alpha})\lambda^*}{3M_{\infty}A\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{1+\alpha}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta-1}} \right\}.$$

Define $\psi = Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}$. Then

$$\nabla\psi = K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\nabla z_{\lambda^*}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & M\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi|^2 dx\right)\int_{\Omega}\nabla\psi\cdot\nabla w dx \\ &= M\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi|^2 dx\right)K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\int_{\Omega}\left[\left\{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{-2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2+z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}}\Delta z_{\lambda^*}\right\}\right]w dx \\ &= M\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\psi|^2 dx\right)K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\int_{\Omega}\left[\left\{\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{-2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2+z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}}(1-\lambda^*z_{\lambda^*})\right\}\right]w dx \\ &\leq M_{\infty}K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\int_{\Omega}\left[\left\{\lambda^*z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}-z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}}-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)\frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}}\right\}\right]w dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $m > 0$ be such that $|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2 \geq m$ in $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$ and $z_{\lambda^*} \geq \mu$ in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, where $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta} := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \delta\}$. Let

$$c^* := K^{1+\alpha} \min\left\{M_{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)m^2, \frac{1}{3}\mu^2\left(a - M_{\infty}\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\lambda^*\right)\right\}.$$

Let $x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$ and $c \leq c^*$. Since $\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\lambda^* < a$, we have

$$M_{\infty}K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\lambda^*z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} < a\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

From the choice of K , we have

$$\frac{M_{\infty}}{2}\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \geq bK^{\gamma-1}\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2\gamma(\alpha-1)}{1+\alpha}}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\frac{M_{\infty}}{2}\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right) \geq AK^{\beta-1}\|z_{\lambda^*}\|_{\infty}^{\frac{2\beta(\alpha-1)}{1+\alpha}}. \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.4), (2.5) and (H2), we know that

$$-\frac{M_{\infty}}{2}K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \leq -b\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}\right)^{\gamma}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$-\frac{M_{\infty}}{2}K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \leq -A\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}\right)^{\beta} \leq -f\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

Since $|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2 \geq m$ in $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, from the choice of c^* we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -M_{\infty}K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)\frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \\ & \leq M_{\infty}K\left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)\frac{m^2}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\left(Kz_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Hence for c^* , combining (2.3), (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (H3) distributing the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w dx \\
= & M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right) K \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \\
\times & \int_{\Omega} \left(\lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} - \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \right) w dx, \\
\leq & M_{\infty} K \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} K \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2} K \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \right. \\
& \left. K \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \right\} w dx, \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega} \left(a \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right) - b \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right)^{\gamma} - f \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right) - \frac{c}{\left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha}} \right) w dx, \\
\leq & \int_{\Omega} \left(a\psi - b\psi^{\gamma} - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{\psi^{\alpha}} \right) w dx, \quad \forall w \in W, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\delta}$, for $c \leq c^*$ from the choice of c^* and K , we know that

$$\frac{c}{K^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{3} K z_{\lambda^*}^2 \left(a - \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \lambda^* \right), \quad (2.9)$$

$$b K^{\gamma-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{p(\gamma-1)}{1+\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(a - \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \lambda^* \right), \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$A K^{\beta-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{1+\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{3} \left(a - \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \lambda^* \right) \quad (2.11)$$

By combining (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla w dx \\
 &= M \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|^2 dx \right) K \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \\
 &\times \int_{\Omega} \left(\lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} - z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha}} - \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha} \right) \frac{|\nabla z_{\lambda^*}|^2}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \right) w dx, \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(M_{\infty} k \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right) w dx, \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{M_{\infty}}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{3} k \left(\frac{2}{1+\alpha} \right) \lambda^* z_{\lambda^*}^2 \right) w dx, \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha}}} \left(\frac{1}{3} k z_{\lambda^*}^2 a - \frac{c}{k^{\alpha}} \right) + k z_{\lambda^*}^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} a - b k^{\gamma-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2(\gamma-1)}{1+\alpha}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + k z_{\lambda^*}^2 \left(\frac{1}{3} a - A k^{\beta-1} z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2(\beta-1)}{1+\alpha}} \right) \right\} w dx, \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right) - b \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right)^{\gamma} - f \left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right) - \frac{c}{\left(K z_{\lambda^*}^{\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha}} \right) w dx, \\
 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(a \psi - b \psi^{\gamma} - f(\psi) - \frac{c}{\psi^{\alpha}} \right) w dx, \quad \forall w \in W, x \in \Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\delta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus ψ is a positive subsolution of (1.1). From (H_1) and $\gamma > 1$, it is straightforward to verify that a sufficiently large constant $z = M$ is a supersolution of (1.1) with $z \geq \psi$. Thus, the proof is complete. \square

3. CONCLUSION

This article concerns the existence of positive solutions for class of infinite semipositone problems involving nonlocal operator. we establish our abstract existence result via the method of sub- and super-solutions. Our result in this note improves the previous one [8] in which $M(t) \equiv 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdolrahman Razani, *Horizontal p-Kirchhoff equation on the Heisenberg group*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathtiques **193** (2024),103439.
- [2] Abdolrahman Razani, *Existence of solutions for anisotropic Kirchhoff-Boussinesq equations with exponential growth* **35** (2021),32673278.

- [3] Abdolrahman Razani, *Two weak solutions for fully nonlinear Kirchhoff-type problem* *FILOMAT* **35** (2021), 237-256.
- [4] G.A. Afrouzi, N.T. Chung and S. Shakeri, *Existence of positive solutions for Kirchhoff type equations*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **Vol. 2013**(180) (2013), 1-8.
- [5] C.O. Alves, F.J.S.A. Corrêa and T.M. Ma, *Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **49** (2005), 85-93.
- [6] V. Anuradha, D. Hai and R. Shivaji, *Existence results for superlinear semipositone boundary value problems*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 757-763.
- [7] A. Castro , R. Shivaji, *Positive solutions for a concave semipositone Dirichlet problem*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **31** (1998), 91-98.
- [8] M. Chubin, S.H. Rasouli, M.B. Ghaemi and G.A. Afrouzi, *Positive solutions for a class of infinite semipositone problem involving the p -Laplacian operator*, *Matematiche (Catania)* **LXVIII**(2) (2013), 159-166.
- [9] R.S. Cantrell, C. Cosner, *Spatial Ecology via Reaction-Diffusion Equations*, *Math. Comput. Biol.* Wiley. (2003).
- [10] P. Drábek, P. Krejcl and P. Takac., *Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Chapman Hall/CRC, 1999.
- [11] G. Dai and R. Ma, *Solutions for a $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equation with Neumann boundary data*, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **12** (2011), 2666-2680.
- [12] HEYIN IRMAK, PRAVEEN AGARWAL, RAVI P. AGARWAL, *The complex error functions and various extensive results together with implications pertaining to certain special functions* , *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* **46** (2022), 662-672.
- [13] H. Irmak and P. Agarwal, *Some Comprehensive Inequalities Consisting of Mittag-Leffler Type Functions in the Complex Plane*, *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.* **12** (2017), 65-71.
- [14] D.Hai , R. Shivaji, *Uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of semipositone elliptic systems*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **66** (2007), 396-402.
- [15] X. Han and G. Dai, *On the sub-supersolution method for $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equations*, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2012** (2012): 283.
- [16] E. K. Lee, R. Shivaji and J. Ye, *Positive solutions for infinite semipositone problems with falling zeros*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **72** (2010), 4475-4479.
- [17] R.M. May, *Thresholds and breakpoints in ecosystems with a multiplicity of stable states*, *Nature* **269** (1977), 471-477.
- [18] Nazli Irkil, Erhan Piskin, Praveen Agarwal, *Global existence and decay of solutions for a system of viscoelastic wave equations of Kirchhoff type with logarithmic nonlinearity*, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* **45** (2022), 2921-2948.
- [19] Krunal B. Kachhia, Praveen Agarwal, Jyotindra C. Prajapati, *Advances in Real and Complex Analysis with Applications* **Birkher Singapore** (2017).
- [20] S. Oruganti, J. Shi and R. Shivaji, *Diffusive logistic equation with constant yield harvesting, I: steady states*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2002), 3601-3619.
- [21] Razani, Abdolrahman, Figueiredo, Giovany M., *Positive solutions for semipositon F -Laplacian involving nonlocal term in Orlicz-Sobolev space*, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ* (56) (2025), 1-16.
- [22] A. Razani and Giovany M. Figueiredo, *Positive solutions for a semipositone anisotropic p -Laplacian problem*, *Boundary Value Problems* **34** (2024), 1-13.
- [23] Giovany M. Figueiredo and A. Razani , *The sub-supersolution method for a non-homogeneous elliptic equation involving Lebesgue generalized spaces*, *Boundary Value Problems* **105** (2021), 1-16.

- [24] Raoul R. Nigmatullin, Vadim S. Alexandrov, Praveen Agarwal, Shilpi Jain and Necati Ozdemir, *Description of multi-periodic signals generated by complex systems: NOCFASS - New possibilities of the Fourier analysis*, American Institute of Mathematical Sciences **14** (2024),1-19.
- [25] M. Ramaswamy, R. Shivaji and J. Ye, *Positive solutions for a class of infinite semipositone problems*, Differential Integral Equations 20 (12) (2007),1423-1433.
- [26] J.H. Steele and E.W. Henderson, *Modeling long-term fluctuations in fish stocks*, Science **224** (1984), 985-987.
- [27] J. Smoller, A. Wasserman, *Global bifurcation of steady-state solutions*, J. Differential Equations **7** (2002),237-256.