
Coretractable modules relative to δ

Ali Reza Moniri Hamzekolaei¹, Yahya Talebi and Kolsum Arman²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

ABSTRACT. Let R be a ring and M a right R -module. We call M , a $\delta(M)$ -coretractable module if for every proper submodule N of M containing $\delta(M)$, there is a nonzero homomorphism from M/N to M . We investigate some conditions which under two concepts $\delta(M)$ -coretractable and coretractable coincide. For a ring R , we prove that R is right Kasch if and only if R_R is $\delta(R_R)$ -coretractable.

Keywords: coretractable modules, $\delta(M)$ -coretractable module.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: 16D10, 16D40; Secondary 16D80.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R will denote an arbitrary associative ring with identity and all modules will be unitary right R -modules. Let M be an R -module and N a submodule of M . We use $End_R(M)$, $ann_r(M)$, $ann_l(M)$ to denote the ring of endomorphisms of M , the right annihilator in R of M and the left annihilator in R of M , respectively. Let M be a module and K a submodule of M . Then K is essential in M denoted by $K \leq_e M$, if $L \cap K \neq 0$ for every nonzero submodule L of M . Dually, K is small in M ($K \ll M$), in case $M = K + L$ implies that $L = M$. We also recall that a module M is a small module in case there

¹Corresponding author: a.monirih@umz.ac.ir

Received: 16 June 2020

Revised: 16 June 2020

Accepted: 04 July 2020

is a module L containing M such that $M \ll L$. It is well-known that a module M is small if and only if M is a small submodule of its injective hull.

Let M be a module and N a submodule of M . Following [13], N is δ -small in M (denoted by $N \ll_{\delta} M$), in case $M = N + K$ with M/K singular implies that $M = K$. Note that by definitions, every small submodule of M is δ -small in M . The sum of all δ -small submodules of M is denoted by $\delta(M)$. Also $\delta(M)$ is the reject of the class of all simple singular modules in M .

A submodule N of a module M is called a δ -supplement in M , if there is a submodule K of M such that $M = N + K$ and $N \cap K \ll_{\delta} N$. A module M is called δ -supplemented if every submodule of M has a δ -supplement in M . A module M is called *amply* δ -supplemented, in case $M = A + B$ implies A contains a δ -supplement A' of B in M . The reader can find more details about classes of all versions of δ -supplemented modules in [4].

Let R be a ring, M an right R -module. Recall that a module M is singular provided that $Z(M) = M$ where $Z(M) = \{x \in M \mid xI = 0, I \leq_e R_R\}$. Suppose that \mathcal{S} denotes the class of all small right R -modules. In [9] the authors defined $\bar{Z}(M)$ as the reject of \mathcal{S} in M , i.e. $\bar{Z}(M) = \cap\{Ker f \mid f : M \rightarrow U, U \in \mathcal{S}\}$. In this way, M is called (*non*-)cosingular, in case $(\bar{Z}(M) = M) \bar{Z}(M) = 0$. They investigated some general properties of $\bar{Z}(M)$.

Following [2], a module M is said to be retractable in case for every nonzero submodule N of M , there is a nonzero homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$, i.e. $Hom_R(M, N) \neq 0$. Retractable modules and their various generalizations were widely studied and investigated (for example, see [3, 11, 12]). Amini, Ershad and Sharif in [1] defined dual notation of retractable modules namely coretractable modules. A module M is *coretractable* provided that, $Hom_R(M/N, M) \neq 0$ for every proper submodule N of M . Some general properties of coretractable modules were investigated in [1]. The class of Kasch rings is also characterized by means of coretractable modules. In [6], the author introduced coretractable modules relative to their \bar{Z} . According to [6], a module M is called $\bar{Z}(M)$ -coretractable in case for every proper submodule K of M containing $\bar{Z}(M)$, there exists a nonzero homomorphism from M/K to M . Some conditions which under coretractable modules and \bar{Z} -coretractable modules coincide, were also presented. For a commutative semiperfect ring R , the author proved that R is Kasch if and only if every simple cosingular R -module can be embedded in R ([6, Corollary 2.14]). Inspired by last work, the same author and Talebi tried to generalize \bar{Z} -coretractable modules to a general submodule. It means that, in [7] a

module M is called N -coretractable in case for every proper submodule K of M containing N there is a nonzero homomorphism $g : M/K \rightarrow M$. They proved that a right GV -ring R is a Kasch ring if and only if R is a semisimple ring. They also presented some statements that guaranteed that a module M is N -coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.

Inspired by mentioned works, we focus just on nonzero homomorphisms from M/K to M where K contains $\delta(M)$. We present some conditions to prove that when two concepts coretractable and $\delta(M)$ -coretractable coincide. Among them, we show that if $\delta(M)$ is δ -small in M or it is a coretractable module, then M is coretractable if and only if M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable. We show that R_R is $\delta(R_R)$ -coretractable if and only if every simple right R -module that annihilated by $\delta(R_R)$, can be embedded in R_R .

2. $\delta(M)$ -CORETRACTABLE MODULES

In this section we introduce a new generalization of coretractable modules namely, $\delta(M)$ -coretractable modules.

Recall that a module M is *coretractable*, in case for every proper submodule N of M , there exists a nonzero homomorphism $f : M/N \rightarrow M$.

Definition 2.1. Let M be a module. We say M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable in case for every proper submodule N of M containing $\delta(M)$, there is a non-zero homomorphism from M/N to M .

Note that if for a module M we have $\delta(M) = 0$, then M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.

Example 2.2. (1) Every coretractable module M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable. In particular every semisimple module M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable.

(2) Let M be a module with $\delta(M) = M$. Then clearly M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable. In other words, there is a module M with $\delta(M) = M$ such that M is not coretractable. Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, then as an \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} is not coretractable. Note that $\delta(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$.

Recall from [8] that a ring R is right GV (*generalized V-ring*), in case every simple singular right R -module is injective. In [10, Theorem 3.1] the authors proved that a ring R right GV if and only if every simple cosingular right R -module is projective.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a right GV -ring. If M is an indecomposable module with $0 \neq \frac{M}{\delta(M)}$ having a maximal submodule, then M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable if and only if M is simple projective.*

Proof. Let M be coretractable relative to its δ . By assumption there is a maximal submodule K of M containing $\delta(M)$. By assumption, there

is a monomorphism $g : M/K \rightarrow M$. It follows that Img is a simple submodule of M . Then Img is either cosingular or injective. If Img is cosingular, then by [10, Theorem 3.1] Img is projective. It follows that K is a direct summand of M and hence $K = 0$ or $K = M$. So that $K = 0$. If Img is injective, then Img is a summand of M and since $g \neq 0$ we conclude that $Img = M$, a contradiction. The converse is obvious. \square

We shall present some conditions that ensure us the two concepts $\delta(M)$ -coretractable and coretractable, coincide.

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a module. In each of the following cases M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable if and only if M is coretractable.*

- (1) $\delta(M) \ll_{\delta} M$ ($\delta(M) \ll M$).
- (2) $\delta(M)$ is a coretractable module.

Proof. (1) We shall prove the δ case. The other follows immediately. Let M be $\delta(M)$ -coretractable and K a proper submodule of M . Suppose that $M \neq \delta(M) + K$. Since M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable, there is a homomorphism $f : M/(\delta(M) + K) \rightarrow M$. So that $f \circ \pi : M/K \rightarrow M$ is the required homomorphism where $\pi : M/K \rightarrow M/(\delta(M) + K)$ is natural epimorphism. Otherwise, $M = \delta(M) + K$. It follows from [13, Lemma 1.2], there is a decomposition $M = Y \oplus K$ where Y is a semisimple projective submodule of $\delta(M)$. Therefore, there is a monomorphism from M/K to M since K is a direct summand of M . Therefore, M is coretractable. The converse is clear.

(2) Let K be a proper submodule of M . Then $K + \delta(M) \neq M$ or $K + \delta(M) = M$. If first one happens, then similar to (1), we can construct a nonzero homomorphism. Now suppose that $K + \delta(M) = M$. Then $h : M/K \rightarrow \delta(M)/(\delta(M) \cap K)$ is an isomorphism induced from $M = \delta(M) + K$. Since $\delta(M)$ is coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism $g : \delta(M)/(\delta(M) \cap K) \rightarrow \delta(M)$. Therefore, $g \circ h \circ j : M/K \rightarrow M$ is a nonzero homomorphism where $j : N \rightarrow M$ is the inclusion. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be a module such that $M/\delta(M)$ is coretractable and can be embedded in M (for example, $M/\delta(M)$ is semisimple and $\delta(M)$ is a direct summand of M). Then M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable.*

Proof. Let K be a proper submodule of M containing $\delta(M)$. Then $K/\delta(M)$ is a proper submodule of $M/\delta(M)$. Since $M/\delta(M)$ is coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism $g : M/K \rightarrow M/\delta(M)$. Because, $M/\delta(M)$ can be embedded in M , we conclude that there will be a nonzero homomorphism from M/K to M . \square

Let M be a module and $K \leq M$. We say M is $\delta(K)$ -coretractable if for every proper submodule T of M containing $\delta(K)$, there is a non-zero homomorphism $g : M/T \rightarrow M$.

Lemma 2.6. (1) Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ be a $\delta(M_i)$ -coretractable module for at least one $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable.

(2) Let M be $\delta(M)$ -coretractable. If $\delta(M)$ contains no nonzero image of any endomorphism of M , then $M/\delta(M)$ is coretractable.

(3) If $\frac{M}{\delta(M)}$ has a maximal submodule, then $\text{Soc}(M) \neq 0$. In particular, if M is a finitely generated $\delta(M)$ -coretractable, then $\text{Soc}(M) \neq 0$.

Proof. (1) This is straightforward.

(2) Let $T/\delta(M)$ be a proper submodule of $M/\delta(M)$. Then $\delta(M) \subseteq T \subset M$. Since M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable, there exists a nonzero homomorphism $g : M/T \rightarrow M$. Now define $h : \frac{M/\delta(M)}{T/\delta(M)} \rightarrow M/\delta(M)$ by $h(x + \delta() + \frac{T}{\delta(M)}) = g(x + T)$ for every $x \in M$. If $\text{Im}h = \delta(M)$, then $\text{Im}g \subseteq \delta(M)$, a contradiction. So that, $M/\delta(M)$ is coretractable.

(3) Let $\frac{K}{\delta(M)}$ be a maximal submodule of $\frac{M}{\delta(M)}$. Then K is a maximal submodule of M also containing $\delta(M)$. So there is a $h : \frac{M}{K} \rightarrow M$. It follows that $\text{Im}h$ is a simple submodule of M . □

Let M be a module and $N \leq M$. Then N is called *fully invariant*, if for every $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$, $f(N) \subseteq N$. Some submodules of a module M are fully invariant such as $\text{Rad}(M)$, $\text{Soc}(M)$, $\delta(M)$.

Proposition 2.7. (1) Let M be a module and $K, L \leq M$ such that K is a fully invariant singular δ -supplement of L in M . If M is $\delta(L)$ -coretractable, then K is coretractable.

(2) Let M be a module such that $\delta(M)$ has a fully invariant singular δ -supplement K in M . If M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable, then K is coretractable.

(3) If $\delta(M)$ is a direct summand of a coretractable module M , with $M/\delta(M)$ singular, then $\delta(M)$ is coretractable.

Proof. (1) Let N be a proper submodule of K . Consider the submodule $N + \delta(L)$ of M . If $N + \delta(L) = M$, then by modularity $N + (K \cap \delta(L)) = K$ which implies that $N = K$, a contradiction (note that $K \cap \delta(L) \subseteq K \cap L \ll_{\delta} K$). It follows that $N + \delta(L)$ is a proper submodule of M . Being M , $\delta(L)$ -coretractable, implies that there is non-zero homomorphism $g : M/(N + \delta(L)) \rightarrow M$. Now $(g \circ \pi)(K) \subseteq K$ as K is fully invariant where $\pi : M \rightarrow M/(N + \delta(L))$ is the natural epimorphism. Define the homomorphism $h : K/N \rightarrow K$ by $h(x + N) = g(x + N + \delta(L))$. Since g is nonzero, there is a $x \in M \setminus (N + \delta(L))$ such that $g(x + N + \delta(L)) = h(x + N) \neq 0$. Hence K is coretractable.

(2) Similar to (1).

(3) Follows from (2). \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$. If each M_i is $\delta(M_i)$ -coretractable, then M is $\delta(M)$ -coretractable.*

Proof. The proof is exactly similar to proof of [1, Proposition 2.6]. Note that by [13, Lemma 1.5(3)], $\delta(M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n) = \delta(M_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \delta(M_n)$. \square

Let M be an R -module. A submodule K is said to be dense in M if, for any $y \in M$ and $0 \neq x \in M$, there exists $r \in R$ such that $xr \neq 0$ and $yr \in K$. Obviously, any dense submodule of M is essential. From [5, Proposition 8.6], K is dense in M if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(P/K, M) = 0$ for every submodule $P \supseteq K$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R_R is $\delta(R_R)$ -coretractable;
- (2) Every finitely generated free right R -module F is $\delta(F)$ -coretractable;
- (3) For every right ideal $I \supseteq \delta(R_R)$, $\text{ann}_l(I) \neq 0$;
- (4) Every simple right R -module annihilated by $\delta(R_R)$ can be embedded in R_R .

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) Follows from Proposition 2.8.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Let I be a right ideal containing $\delta(R_R)$. Since R_R is $\delta(R_R)$ -coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism $f : R/I \rightarrow R$. Consider the endomorphism $g = f \circ \pi : R \rightarrow R$ where π is the natural epimorphism from R to R/I . Then there is an element $a \in R$ such that $g(x) = ax$. Let $y \in I$. Then $g(y) = ay = 0$ as $I \subseteq \text{Ker } g$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let I be a right ideal of R containing $\delta(R_R)$. Since $\text{ann}_l(I) \neq 0$, there exists an element of R such as a that $aI = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. Define $f : R/I \rightarrow R$ by $f(x + I) = ax$. It is easy to check that f is an R -homomorphism and in particular $f \neq 0$.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) Let $M \cong R/K$ be a simple right R -module such that $M\delta(R_R) = 0$. It follows that $\delta(R_R) \subseteq K$. Since R is $\delta(R_R)$ -coretractable, there is a nonzero homomorphism $f : R/K \rightarrow R$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let T be a proper right ideal of R containing $\delta(R_R)$. Now there exists a right maximal ideal K of R such that $\delta(R_R) \subseteq T \subseteq K$. Consider the simple right R -module $M = R/K$. Since $M\delta(R_R) = 0$, there is a nonzero homomorphism $g : R/K \rightarrow R$ by assumption. Being T a submodule of K , there exists $f : R/T \rightarrow R/K$ defined by $f(x+T) = x+K$. Hence $g \circ f$ is the desired homomorphism. \square

Corollary 2.10. *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R ;*

- (1) R is a right Kasch ring;
- (2) Every finitely generated free right R -module F is $\delta(F)$ -coretractable;
- (3) For every right ideal $I \supseteq \delta(R_R)$, $\text{ann}_l(I) \neq 0$;

(4) Every simple right R -module annihilated by $\delta(R_R)$ can be embedded in R_R .

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 2.4 and the fact that R is a right Kasch ring if and only if R_R is a coretractable module. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let R be a right GV-ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R_R is $\delta(R_R)$ -coretractable;
- (2) R is a right Kasch ring;
- (3) R is a semisimple ring.

Proof. Follows from [7, Proposition 2.26] and Theorem 2.9. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let R be a ring such that every free right R -module $R^{(A)}$ is $\delta(R)^{(A)}$ -coretractable. Then for every right R -module M with $\delta(R_R) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(M)$, $\text{Hom}_R(M, R) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let M be a right R -module such that $\delta(R_R) \subseteq \text{ann}_r(M)$. Then there is a free right R -module F and a submodule K of F such that $M \cong F/K$. Since $M\delta(R_R) = 0$, we have $\delta(R_R)^{(A)} \subseteq K$ where A is an indexed set. By assumption, there is a nonzero homomorphism $\lambda : F/K \rightarrow F$. Then the homomorphism $\pi \circ \lambda : M \rightarrow R$ is the required one where $\pi : F \rightarrow R$ is the natural epimorphism. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Amini, M. Ershad and H. Sharif, Coretractable modules, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **86** (2009), 289–304.
- [2] S. M. Khuri, Endomorphism rings and lattice isomorphisms, *J. Algebra* **59** (1979), 401–408.
- [3] S. M. Khuri, Nonsingular retractable modules and their endomorphism rings, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **43** (1991), 63–71.
- [4] M. T. Koşan, δ -lifting and δ -supplemented modules, *Algebra Colloq.* **14**(1) (2007), 53–60.
- [5] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on Modules and Rings, Springer-Verlog, New York, 1999.
- [6] A. R. Moniri Hamzekolaeae, A generalization of coretractable modules, *J. Algeb. Syst.* **5**(2) (2017), 163–176.
- [7] A. R. Moniri Hamzekolaeae and Y. Talebi, Coretractable modules relative to a submodule, *J. Algebra Comb. Discrete Appl.* **6**(2), 95–103.
- [8] V. S. Ramamurthy and K. M. Rangaswamy, Generalized V -rings, *Math. Scand.* **31** (1972), 69–77.
- [9] Y. Talebi and N. Vanaja, The torsion theory cogenerated by M -small modules, *Comm. Algebra* **30**(3) (2002), 1449–1460.
- [10] Y. Talebi, A. R. Moniri Hamzekolaeae, M. Hosseinpour, A. Harmanci and B. Ungor, Rings for which every cosingular module is projective, *Hacett. J. Math. Stat.* **48**(4) (2019), 973–984.

- [11] J. M. Zelmanowitz, Correspondences of closed submodules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2955–2960.
- [12] Z. P. Zhou, A lattice isomorphism theorem for nonsingular retractable modules, *Canadian Math. Bull.* **37** (1999), 140–144.
- [13] Y. Zhou, Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect, and semiregular rings, *Algebra Colloq.* **7** (2000), 305–318.